

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

BARE ESCENTUALS BEAUTY, INC.,
Plaintiff,
v.
L'OREAL USA, INC., et al.,
Defendants.

No. C-07-1669 MMC

**ORDER GRANTING IN PART AND
DENYING IN PART PLAINTIFF'S
MOTION TO EXTEND TIME;
CONTINUING HEARING**

Before the Court is plaintiff's "Motion to Extend Time for Opposition to L'Oreal S.A.'s Motion to Dismiss for Lack of Personal Jurisdiction," filed September 28, 2007, by which plaintiff seeks an order setting a schedule for jurisdictional discovery and continuing the hearing on defendant's motion from October 19, 2007 to February 22, 2008; alternatively, plaintiff seeks an order continuing the hearing to November 2, 2007, to allow the parties additional time to file a stipulated jurisdictional discovery schedule. Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 6-3(c), any opposition to said motion was to be filed by October 3, 2007. To date, no opposition has been filed.


Having considered the papers filed in support of the motion, the Court finds the matter appropriate for decision without oral argument, see Civil L.R. 7-1(b), hereby GRANTS plaintiff's alternative request, and CONTINUES the hearing on defendant L'Oreal S.A.'s Motion to Dismiss to November 2, 2007. The parties shall file a stipulated

1 jurisdictional discovery and briefing schedule no later than October 19, 2007.

2 In all other respects, the motion is hereby DENIED without prejudice.

3 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

4 Dated: October 10, 2007

5 
6 MAXINE M. CHESNEY
7 United States District Judge
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28